

**Village of Haines Junction
Regular Council Meeting Minutes
July 22, 2026**

Present:

Mayor Strand
Councillor Busche
Councillor Mackinnon
Councillor Moore
Councillor Sundbo

Absent:

CAO Fairbank

Recorder L. Sylvain, Sylvain Human Resource Services (remote)

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 7:04 p.m.

2. Acknowledgement of Champagne and Aishihik First Nations Traditional Territory

Councillor Busche acknowledged that we are on the traditional territory of the Champagne and Aishihik First Nations.

3. Adoption of Agenda

#186-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT the agenda be adopted with the following additions:

- 9.c. Wildfire Risk Reduction
- 9.d. Operation Nanook
- 13.a. Personnel Matters.

Motion #186-26 was **CARRIED**.

4. Declaration of Pecuniary Interest

There were no declarations of pecuniary interest.

5. Adoption of Minutes of Regular and Special Council Meetings

a. Draft Minutes from June 24th, 2026, Regular Council Meeting

Discussion:

- Councillor Busche re-entered the meeting later than what was noted in the meeting minutes.

#187-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT the draft minutes of the Regular Council meeting of June 24, 2026 be adopted with the following amendment:

- On p. 12, move the statement regarding Councillor Busche's return before Section 9.

Motion #187-26 was **CARRIED**.

b. Draft Minutes from July 15th, 2026, Committee of the Whole Meeting

No discussion.

#188-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT the draft Minutes of the July 15th, 2026 Committee of the Whole meeting be adopted.

Motion #188-26 was **CARRIED**.

6. Delegations

There were no delegations.

7. Public Hearings and Public Input Sessions

None.

8. Unfinished Business

a. Accounts Payable to July 22nd, 2026

No discussion.

#189-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council receive and file the Accounts Payable to July 22nd, 2026.

Motion #189-26 was **CARRIED**.

b. RTC – June 2026 Finance Report

CAO Presentation:

- Presented the first monthly financial report of the fiscal year, explaining that it summarizes revenues and expenditures to date. At the halfway point of the fiscal year, the general budget target is 50%, although variations are expected.
- Noted that revenues are often higher than the mid-year benchmark because major funding, including the Community Funding Grant (CFG), is received earlier in the year.
- Highlighted that landfill and recycling revenues were approximately 30% of budget; however, when the Yukon Government transfer related to the regionalization process is included, revenues increase to approximately 66% of budget.

Discussion:

- On Page 3 of the report, it mentions three different accounts starting with "Imprest Account". What do those refer to?

- Imprest account refers to petty cash.
- What does “Swim Club Payable” refer to?
 - The CAO explained that, based on the auditors' recommendation, funds raised by the former swim club continue to be carried on the Municipality's balance sheet rather than recognized as general revenue. The funds were raised by community members before the pool was closed and condemned. Council was advised that the intent is to preserve the funds so they remain available should a new pool be built and the swim club be re-established in the future.
- On Page 4, what is the difference between the “C-CARE Grant” and the “Recreation Grant”?
 - CAO responded that he believed the recreation grant was absorbed into C-CARE, though he would look into it and provide an explanation to Council at a later date.
- On Page 4, there is over \$114,000 in a “Public Arts Reserve” account, which was once administered by the former Arts Committee. However, that committee no longer exists. Does Council need to discuss next steps for these funds?
 - The CAO advised that there has been no activity in the Public Arts account since the committee dissolved approximately eight years ago, with the balance increasing only through accrued interest. The CAO noted that a future report to Council on restricted reserves will include information and recommendations regarding the status of these funds.
- Why is there approximately \$55,000 in recreation grant funding recorded as a liability when the administration budget for the grants was approximately \$98,500?
 - CAO responded that the approximately \$17,000 in recreation grants payable appeared consistent with lottery grant funding, while the remaining balance related to the CCARE program. The CAO noted that C-CARE funding is approximately \$120,000 annually and is distributed over two intakes, making the outstanding payable appear reasonable. The CAO advised that they would review the figures and provide Council with a more detailed explanation.
- Council asked about changes to the capital and provisional budget, specifically noting that the C-CARE grant allocation appeared to have increased from approximately \$62,500 in an earlier budget to \$125,000 in the current version, and questioned whether the earlier amount reflected only a partial year.
 - The CAO explained that the earlier capital budget referenced by Council was likely the provisional budget prepared at the end of 2025, which accounts for the differences.
- When will the next report come out?
 - CAO responded that they will be monthly reports.
- Is there an opportunity after the reserves discussion to have Village staff attend a meeting to present the report and answer any technical questions from Council?
 - CAO will have the specific staff member who prepares the reports attend at the next meeting that the report is presented to provide information.

#190-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council accept the June 2026 Financial Report as presented.

Motion #190-26 was **CARRIED**.

#191-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council schedule a Committee of the Whole meeting to discuss the Financial Report, Investment Policy, and Reserves with Village finance staff.

Motion #191-26 was **CARRIED**.

9. New Business

a. RTC – Council Procedural Bylaw – Amendment #2

CAO's Presentation:

- Presented a strategic review of the Municipality's Procedural Bylaw, noting that it was adopted in 2019, amended in 2020, and has not been updated since.
- The purpose of the presentation was to obtain Council's feedback on the proposed direction and guiding principles rather than conduct a clause-by-clause review. Staff will incorporate Council's comments, complete further revisions, and undertake a legal review before bringing a draft Bylaw forward for first reading.
- The proposed amendments are based on the principles of accountability, transparency, and good governance. Council was invited to provide feedback on the proposed definitions and whether they align with its vision for the Bylaw.

Discussion:

- Council expressed concern that some proposed amendments appeared overly restrictive and could reduce the Municipality's ability to respond efficiently to a range of situations. Council emphasized the need to balance clear procedures with operational flexibility.
- Council noted that the proposed revisions represented a substantial amount of material to review at once and suggested considering the amendments in smaller sections or phases to make the review process more manageable.
- Council expressed support for the proposed definitions of accountability, transparency, and good governance.
 - CAO noted that Council can always return to these definitions to amend them in the future.

CAO's Presentation:

- The CAO introduced proposed changes related to the relationship between Council and administration, based on feedback from Council and observations gathered over the past three years working with the Procedural Bylaw.
- The proposed updates are organized into sections, with the first section focused on clarifying roles and responsibilities, information flow between administration and Council, electronic communications, and related procedures.

- The CAO sought Council's feedback on the overall direction and principles of the proposed changes, including that direction to the CAO be provided collectively by Council and that information shared with one Council member generally be made available to all members. The CAO noted that further details and policy considerations would need to be refined.

Discussion:

- Council mentioned that the process of distributing all information to all Council members is already in action.
 - The CAO explained that while it is informally taking place, there is currently no policy requiring the sharing of information to all of Council.
- Regarding sections about staff direction, Council expressed support for the underlying principle but noted that the proposed wording did not feel quite right and may require refinement. Council questioned whether the wording should clarify that direction to the CAO is provided collectively through the Mayor.
 - CAO responded that the CAO receives direction through motions, but that this could be more specific.
- Some Council members expressed concern that the proposed wording may be overly restrictive by limiting individual Council members from providing direction without a formal motion. It was noted that while direction should generally come from Council collectively, there may be situations where it is appropriate for the Mayor or Deputy Mayor to provide direction to administration to address matters efficiently.
 - The CAO clarified that the intent of the proposed wording is not to prevent reasonable requests from individual Council members but to establish appropriate boundaries consistent with the Municipal Act. The CAO noted that, where a request is reasonable and it can be assumed that Council would generally support the task, administration may proceed with providing information or assistance. The provision is intended to address situations where an individual Council member may attempt to direct staff independently, which is restricted under the Municipal Act. The wording is intended to reinforce the distinction between Council direction as a whole and individual member requests.
 - Council noted that they would expect Council members to use appropriate judgment and that, if concerns arose, the CAO or the Mayor as Chair could help manage and clarify individual requests.
 - The CAO noted that the proposed bylaw includes additional provisions outlining more specific circumstances involving the Mayor or Deputy Mayor (when acting in that role), including their relationship with administration and how direction or requests are to be handled.
- Council expressed concern that the wording could be overly restrictive, particularly in situations requiring timely responses, such as emergency planning or routine operational questions. Concerns were raised that requiring formal motions for all direction or requests could create unnecessary delays.

- CAO explained that there exists a requirement for collective Council direction in the Municipal Act, suggesting the bylaw language reflects existing legislative requirements rather than creating a new restriction.
- Council suggested that perhaps clearer wording could help.

CAO Presentation:

- The CAO presented proposed changes related to public participation in the Procedural Bylaw, including the introduction of a new delegation process. The proposed delegation process would allow members of the public to submit delegation requests after the Council agenda has been posted, enabling them to address Council directly on items included on the agenda before Council deliberates on the matter.
- The CAO noted that the proposed amendments would also adjust time limits for public questions and delegations and clarify that delegations and public questions should focus on Council-related matters, such as policies, bylaws, and service levels, while operational questions would generally be directed to staff.
- The CAO explained that additional wording had initially been drafted to clarify how the Chair could distinguish between operational and Council matters during meetings, including redirecting detailed operational questions to staff outside of the meeting when appropriate. This section was removed from the draft due to the volume of proposed changes but could be reconsidered.
- Council was asked whether it supported the proposed agenda-response delegation process and the distinction between policy matters directed to Council and operational matters directed to administration.

Discussion:

- Council noted that it supported having residents ask policy questions directly to the CAO, as that position has current and extensive knowledge of the Village's policies.
 - The CAO mentioned that he could put the section regarding public participation back in, to clarify that the public can bring operational questions to the CAO.
- The CAO asked Council if it would like a recorded vote to be taken on every motion that does not carry unanimously?
 - Council was in favour, seeing it as transparent.

CAO Presentation:

- The CAO presented proposed changes related to Council minutes, noting that the Municipal Act requires minutes to be maintained but does not prescribe their format or level of detail beyond specific requirements.
- Recommended moving from anecdotal-style minutes to a more concise action-based format. As an example, the CAO circulated publicly available minutes from other Yukon communities, noting that the combined length of minutes from all other communities reviewed was comparable to the minutes from a single Village meeting.
- Explained that most communities use action minutes that capture decisions, resolutions, presentations, and Council reports, while detailed debate and discussion are generally

not recorded unless a Council member specifically requests that their comments be entered into the record.

- The CAO noted that limiting the recording of debate supports open discussion by allowing Council members to consider different perspectives and adjust their positions through deliberation. The CAO emphasized that transparency and accountability are achieved through recorded decisions and votes, rather than documenting every aspect of Council debate.

Discussion:

- A Council member expressed concern with revisiting the matter, noting that Council had previously discussed and passed a motion supporting anecdotal minutes. This member questioned the need to reconsider the decision and stated that recording discussion does not necessarily prevent Council members from changing their positions when new information becomes available. This member noted that anecdotal meeting minutes provide greater transparency for the public.
- Other Council members supported moving to action minutes, noting that current minutes are lengthy and consume significant meeting time. They suggested that anyone requiring additional detail could refer to meeting recordings.
- A Council member expressed uncertainty between the two approaches (anecdotal and action minutes) but acknowledged that action minutes would be simpler while recognizing that further consideration may be required.
- A Council member discussed their review of Robert's Rules of Order and municipal minute-taking practices. They noted that minutes are intended to record decisions, motions, votes, and official actions rather than detailed discussions, personal opinions, or debate summaries. Based on Robert's Rules, the primary purpose of minutes is to provide a record of what was decided and the actions taken by Council, as opposed to documenting who said what during discussions.

CAO Presentation:

- The CAO reviewed additional proposed Procedural Bylaw amendments, including provisions outlining expectations that Council members conduct themselves respectfully toward citizens and one another. The CAO noted that this reflects the behaviour already demonstrated by Council but establishes a formal expectation within the bylaw.
- The CAO highlighted proposed clarification regarding the relationship between Council and administration and noted changes to meeting time provisions. The existing bylaw requires meetings to conclude by 9:30 p.m., with the option for Council to extend the meeting by one hour. The CAO recommended allowing Council to pass a motion to extend meetings for a specified period of time, providing greater flexibility based on the circumstances rather than a fixed one-hour extension.
- The CAO noted that additional flexibility may be beneficial in situations requiring further discussion, including emergency circumstances or winter conditions, and acknowledged that Council has informally used this approach in the past.
- The CAO reviewed proposed updates to meeting notification requirements. The revised wording would clarify that meeting notices are posted through multiple channels,

including the Village website, social media accounts, Voyent Alert, email distribution lists, and the Village Office bulletin board.

- The CAO noted that the update would confirm whether Voyent Alert should be used for non-emergency communications, as the platform supports broader public notifications, and would remove the existing provision allowing the CAO discretion over how and when meeting notices are advertised.

Discussion:

- Council noted that while fewer residents may rely on non-digital communication methods, some residents still do not have access to email, cell phones, or other digital tools. Council suggested ensuring that hard-copy options remain available for residents who need to submit or receive information in person. Council also suggested that Council members who prefer printed meeting packages could request hard copies for pickup at the Village Office, which may help reduce last-minute preparation and printing requests.
 - The CAO confirmed that printed Council packages are available for pickup at the Village Office.
- CAO noted that only substantive changes to meeting minutes should be discussed at the Council meeting. Any other changes, such as a typo or grammatical errors, should be emailed to the CAO.

CAO Presentation:

- The CAO reviewed proposed amendments related to Council's governance role, noting that much of the wording is drawn directly from the Municipal Act and the Chief Administrative Officer Bylaw. The intent is to include key legislative provisions within the Procedural Bylaw so Council has them readily available in a single governing document.
- The proposed amendments outline Council's responsibility for governance and the CAO's responsibility for implementing Council's direction, managing the Municipality's day-to-day operations, and providing Council with the information and advice needed to fulfill its governance role.
- The CAO highlighted proposed wording stating that direction to the CAO is provided through Council resolutions, while the Mayor may communicate Council's collective direction to the CAO but may not provide individual direction. The CAO noted that this addresses earlier discussion regarding the Mayor's role and that exceptions are provided for in the CAO Bylaw and the Code of Conduct.

Discussion:

- How do the proposed Procedural Bylaw provisions interact with the Emergency Measures Bylaw? More specifically, which would take precedence during an emergency?
 - The CAO explained that Council retains authority whenever it can meet. The Emergency Measures Commission would only assume decision-making authority if Council is unable to convene. The CAO further noted that the draft Emergency Measures Bylaw establishes the conditions under which the Commission may be formed and authorized to allocate funding for an emergency response. The

Commission's authority is limited to 72 hours, after which Council is expected to reconvene and resume its governance role.

- Council noted that some of the proposed Procedural Bylaw amendments may not fully align with the existing CAO Bylaw and Code of Conduct Bylaw. Council referenced provisions requiring the CAO to advise and inform Council on municipal operations and requiring Council members to request information through the CAO, suggesting there may be inconsistencies with the proposed wording. Recommended reviewing the bylaws together to ensure they are consistent, either by revising the Procedural Bylaw or, if necessary, updating the related bylaws accordingly.
 - CAO explained that the proposed wording has been drafted to align as closely as possible with the existing CAO Bylaw and other related bylaws, using the same language where applicable.
- The CAO outlined a proposed process, in Section 43.2, for handling Council information requests. Routine requests would continue to be addressed and shared with all Council members where appropriate. However, where a request would require a significant amount of staff time or resources, the CAO could refer the matter back to Council for consideration and direction before proceeding. The CAO noted that this provision is intended to manage exceptionally large requests and has not been necessary with the current Council.
- Council raised concerns about the proposed provision stating that committees, boards, and commissions cannot enter into contracts or authorize expenditures on behalf of the Municipality. It was noted that Council has previously approved budgets for certain committees, such as the Trail Crew Committee, Shawkak Hall Committee, Cemetery Committee, and Arts and Culture Committee, allowing them to incur expenses within approved budget limits. Council suggested that the bylaw should recognize these circumstances by permitting committees to make expenditures where Council has delegated authority through an approved budget or other authorization.
 - The CAO clarified that the intent of the provision is not to prevent committees from making decisions within their approved budgets, but to ensure that the Municipality retains responsibility for executing contracts and processing payments. The CAO explained that while a committee may recommend or approve hiring a contractor, administration would be responsible for signing the contract, administering it, and issuing payment. This approach ensures that appropriate contractual processes and liability protections are applied consistently on behalf of the Municipality.
- Council put forward the Shawkak Hall Committee's commissioning of artwork as an example of a situation where committees have arranged services with limited administrative involvement and questioned whether similar practices should continue under the proposed Bylaw.
 - The CAO acknowledged that this example showed the need for clearer administrative oversight, noting that uncertainty arose regarding ownership of the artwork's intellectual property rights because the contract had not been managed through administration. Noted that expectations with the committee could have been communicated more clearly.

- Council suggested that the appropriate level of authority and responsibility for committees could be addressed through each committee's terms of reference.
- How much notice is required for a special meeting to be held?
 - CAO answered that 24 hours is required.
 - Council noted that 24 hours is a long time when an urgent matter, such as an emergency, were to take place.
 - The CAO noted that Council is bound by the Municipal Act, which requires 24 hours notice prior to a special meeting. However, in an emergency, Council processes would follow the Emergency Act.
- Council expressed concern about falling back onto Robert's Rules of Order Newly Revised when situations arise that are not covered by the Procedural Bylaw.
- Council noted that there were some redundancies and discrepancies between the Municipal Act and the Municipal Procedural Bylaw and requested that the CAO correct any instances with such issues.
- A Council member suggested that staff provide draft resolutions for each option presented in a report to Council (RTC), rather than only for the recommended option. The member felt this would help Council develop clearer motions and improve efficiency during meetings. Another Council member expressed concern that preparing draft resolutions for every option would be time-consuming and noted that drafting motions is the responsibility of Council. In response, the first Council member noted that having draft wording available for all options could help avoid confusion and streamline the process of developing resolutions during meetings.
 - CAO to explore options.
- Council requested more discussion and consideration of how Council and the CAO communicate relevant internal email discussions to the public.

#192-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council receive a revised version of the Council Procedural Bylaw, Amendment #2, at a future Council meeting and that Council receive a copy to review prior to the meeting.

Motion #192-26 was **CARRIED**.

b. RTC – Subdivision (Amalgamation) Request Lot 19 Block 7

CAO's Presentation:

- Noted that the Village needs to know the intended land use prior to approving this request. As a result, it is recommended that Council defer this discussion.

#193-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council discuss the Subdivision Lot Amalgamation Request of Lot 19, Block 7 at a future Council meeting.

Motion #193-26 was **CARRIED**.

c. Wildfire Risk Reduction

CAO's Presentation:

- Staff were notified that a YESAB application had been submitted for FireSmart activities on the South side of the Haines highway near the Gun Range. The deadline for Council comments is Friday, July 25, 2026. Due to the short timeline, the item was added to the agenda as an amendment.
- Advised that a report could not be prepared in time but had circulated the YESAB submission to Council by email. Staff did not provide a recommendation on the application.

Discussion:

- Council noted that the 100-metre strip along the highway was likely excluded from the FireSmart proposal because it had previously been removed from the park reserve for a potential future highway alignment.
- Council commented that treating the highway corridor would be in the Village's best interest, as it could strengthen the highway as a fuel break and provide wildfire suppression crews with additional access and response options from both the roadway and adjacent ditches.
- Council noted that they would submit their own individual comments directly to YESAB.

d. Operation Nanook

CAO's Presentation:

- Advised that an invitation had been received to participate in the Yukon Communities Emergency Response Simulation, with an Expression of Interest deadline of July 27, 2026. The item was brought forward for Council's consideration due to the short timeline.
- Noted that communities could participate at a level of their choosing, ranging from a discussion or tabletop exercise to full participation in the simulation.
- Advised that preparatory engagement with organizers may occur in August, depending on the level of participation selected, with the full exercise scheduled for October 19-24 in Whitehorse. Participation requirements would vary based on the level of involvement chosen.

Discussion:

- Council noted that participating in the exercise would provide an opportunity to test the Village's Emergency Response Plan and assess its effectiveness in a realistic scenario.
- Council noted that, although the exercise would follow a scenario established by the organizers, the Village could adapt its own emergency planning and response within that framework. It was also acknowledged that participation would require time during an already busy period, but that emergencies are inherently unpredictable.
- Council noted that the exercise would provide valuable insight into how emergency management professionals respond to large-scale incidents.
- Council expressed support for participating in the exercise and indicated they had reserved the dates in their calendar.

#194-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council direct staff to find out more information and to respond to the Expression of Interest.

Motion #194-26 was **CARRIED**.

10. Correspondence

a. HJ Health Center Newsletter

195-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council receive and file the Haines Junction Health Center newsletter.

Motion #195-26 was **CARRIED**.

b. Haines Junction RCMP Report

#196-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council receive and file the Haines Junction RCMP Report.

Motion #196-26 was **CARRIED**.

c. YG Firearm Engagement Letter

#197-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council receive and file the YG Firearm Engagement Letter.

Motion #197-26 was **CARRIED**.

11. Council Reports and Notice of Motions

#198-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council retroactively approve a July 16th, 2026 Committee of the Whole meeting to discuss personnel matters.

Motion #198-26 was **CARRIED**.

Councillor Busche

- Councillor Busche attended part of the Pine Lake Triathlon and noted that participation increased from last year. The event was well attended and successful.
- She reported that the Solid Waste Advisory Group (SWAG) is meeting the following evening to finalize arrangements. Advised that the SWAG promotional poster has been ordered and should be available soon.

Councillor Mackinnon

- Councillor Mackinnon congratulated the organizers and volunteers of the second Pine Lake Triathlon, including Rune and Amelia Monstad, and Search and Rescue, for hosting a successful community event.
- She noted that she recently visited the new bike park and commented positively on it, acknowledging that the grand opening is scheduled for August 6th, 2026.
- She thanked Angela for establishing the community grow boxes and noted that produce is now available for community members. Angela had planted lettuce, herbs, and other vegetables.

Councillor Moore

- Councillor Moore noted that the Volunteer Fire Department responded to the arena following a report of fire alarms sounding that was observed by the RCMP during patrol. The incident was determined to be a non-emergency and a false alarm.
- The Fire Department conducted two training sessions: a firefighting foam training exercise and a hands-on training with the 2021 tender truck, including reloading procedures and operation of the roof-mounted turret, ensuring members are familiar with the equipment.
- The Fire Department responded to another arena alarm at approximately 2:51 a.m. while a visiting cycling team from the University of Texas was staying at the facility. The occupants reported the alarm to 911. The fire alarm technician, who had been working on the system and was camping nearby, attended immediately to investigate. The alarm was confirmed to be another false alarm caused by a system error during maintenance.

Councillor Sundbo

- Reported that he may be out of the community from July 28th to August 5th, 2026.
- An email was received regarding the Shakwak Hall demolition. Councillor Sundbo noted that some Shakwak Hall Committee members discussed holding a meeting to finalize outstanding items and that he would contact members to determine interest in scheduling a meeting, potentially by phone.

Mayor Strand

- Mayor Strand noted that she attended the Champagne and Aishihik First Nations (CAFN) General Assembly after being invited to present on behalf of Council. However, due to the passing of a CAFN Citizen, the agenda was shifted and Council business was conducted throughout the day on Saturday. Her planned presentation did not proceed.
- She attended the General Assembly dinner and had an opportunity to connect with attendees, but she did not have a chance to speak with the Chief or Councillors about the idea of hosting an informal campfire discussion with Council.
- Mayor Strand stated that planned attendance at the Staff Appreciation event on Friday was impacted due to being asked to conduct the funeral service for a citizen at Klukshu.
- She provided a reminder that Augusto Children's Festival is scheduled for August 7–9 and highlighted it as an exceptional community event.

- Mayor Strand identified upcoming items for the next Facebook update video, including: recognition of the Pine Lake Triathlon, acknowledgement of Angela's contributions, promotion of the new bike park, information regarding the vegetable boxes program, mentioning the new intake of C-CARE applications, updates on the composter timeline once a date is confirmed, and information about the Council Procedural Bylaw and an invitation for community members to review it if interested.

#199-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council cancel its August 26, 2026 Regular Council meeting.

Motion #199-26 was **CARRIED**.

12. Questions from the Public

There were no questions from the public.

#200-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council extend the meeting past 9:30 p.m.

Motion #200-26 was **CARRIED**.

13. Motion to Close Meeting to the Public

a. Personnel Matters

#201-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT the meeting be closed to the public at 9:24 p.m.

Motion #201-26 was **CARRIED**.

14. Motion to Reopen Meeting to the Public

#202-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT the meeting be reopened to the public at 10:05 p.m.

Motion #202-26 was **CARRIED**.

#203-26 It was moved and seconded

THAT Council schedule a meeting for August 7th, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. to discuss personnel matters.

Motion #203-26 was **CARRIED**

15. Adjournment

#204-26 It was moved and seconded
THAT the meeting be adjourned.

Motion #204-26 was **CARRIED**

Meeting adjourned at 10:06 p.m.



Mayor Diane Strand



CAO David Fairbank



